Application. No.: 09/893,523

Amendment dated October 10, 2006

Reply to Final Office Action of July 7, 2006

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

**Listing of Claims:** 

Claims 1-30 (canceled).

Claim 31 (currently amended): A method for use in a conditional access system, in which a first

scrambled broadcast stream is transmitted to a first decoder by a first service provider in a first

region and a second scrambled broadcast stream is transmitted to a second decoder by a second

service provider in a second region different from said first region, said first and second decoders

being operable to receive a plurality of control messages including information for descrambling

the first and second broadcast streams, the method comprising:

the second service provider receiving from a user a request for access to the second

broadcast stream at the second decoder;

the second service provider checking whether the user is authorised to access the second

broadcast stream by checking that a subscription arrangement exists between the user and the

first service provider, and in the event the user is authorised authorized to access the second

broadcast stream, the second service provider transmitting a plurality of control messages to said

second decoder separately from the second broadcast stream.

Claim 32 (previously presented): A method according to claim 31, wherein said control

messages are alone sufficient to descramble said second broadcast stream.

Page 2 of 9

Claim 33 (currently amended): A method according to claim 31, further comprising

denying a service to the first decoder while the plurality of control messages is are being sent to

the second decoder.

Claim 34 (previously presented): A method according to claim 33, wherein the control

messages comprise entitlement control messages, and the first decoder further receives

entitlement management messages for providing subscription information, wherein denying a

service to the first decoder comprises removing the entitlement management messages.

Claim 35 (previously presented): A method according to claim 33, wherein the control

messages comprise entitlement control messages, and the first decoder further receives

entitlement management messages for providing subscription information, wherein denying a

service to the first decoder comprises sending entitlement management messages that indicate

that subscription rights are not available in the first region while the user is roaming to the

second region.

Claim 36 (previously presented): A method according to claim 31, wherein the first

decoder is arranged to receive control messages transmitted with the first broadcast stream and

the second decoder is arranged to receive control messages separate from the second broadcast

stream.

Page 3 of 9

decoder is associated with a first smart card, and a user uses a second smart card to request

access to the second broadcast stream at the second decoder.

Claim 38 (canceled)

Claim 39 (currently amended): A conditional access system, comprising:

a first decoder for receiving a first scrambled broadcast stream from a first service

provider in a first region;

a second decoder for receiving a second scrambled broadcast stream from a second

service provider in a second region different from said first region, said first and second decoders

being operable to receive a plurality of control messages including information for descrambling

the first and second broadcast streams, the system further comprising:

a subscription authorisation module to enable the second service provider to check if a

user is authorised to access the second broadcast at the second decoder in response to a request

for access from the user; and

in the event that access is authorised, a transmitter for transmitting the control messages

to the second decoder separately from the second broadcast stream.

wherein the subscription authorization module is operable to check that a subscription

arrangement exists between the user and the first service provider to determine whether the user

is authorised to access the second broadcast at the second decoder.

Page 4 of 9

Claim 40 (previously presented): A system according to claim 39, wherein the first

decoder is associated with a first smart card and the subscription authorisation module is

arranged to receive a request from a second smart card at the second decoder.

Claim 41 (previously presented): A system according to claim 40, wherein the first smart

card is a software smart card.

Claim 42 (previously presented): A system according to claim 40, wherein the first smart

card is a virtual smart card.

Claim 43 (previously presented): A system according to claim 40, wherein the second

smart card is a software smart card.

Claim 44 (previously presented): A system according to claim 40, wherein the second

smart card is a virtual smart card.

Claim 45 (previously presented): A system according to claim 39, further comprising

means for preventing the first decoder from accessing the first broadcast stream.

Claim 46 (previously presented): A system according to claim 45, wherein the

preventing means comprise means for removing the user's subscription rights through

entitlement control messages sent to the first decoder.

Page 5 of 9

Application. No.: 09/893,523 Amendment dated October 10, 2006

Reply to Final Office Action of July 7, 2006

Claim 47 (previously presented): A system according to claim 45, wherein the preventing means comprise means for removing the entitlement control messages sent to the first decoder.